

#### **ISO/IEC JTC1 SC24 WG6**

# Supporting AR & MR Visualization in X3D Standard – Updates

August 8, 2012

Gun Lee gun.lee@hitlabnz.org

### AR WG in Web3D Consortium

- Started up and running since June 2011
  - Co-chairs: Gun Lee (HIT Lab NZ), Yvonne Jung (Fraunhofer IGD)
- Final goal is to produce a unified proposal to extend X3D specification for AR and MR applications
- Tasks

- Requirement and use cases August 17, 2011 Done
- Comparison of X3D AR proposals Final editing March, 2012 Done
- From March 2012, developing plan to merge proposals Done
- Develop unified proposal Doing

http://www.web3d.org/x3d/wiki/index.php/X3D\_and\_Augmented\_Reality

## Web3D AR WG – Requirements & Use cases

http://www.web3d.org/x3d/wiki/index.php/X3D\_AR\_Requirements\_and\_Use\_cases

#### Requirements

- Functional requirements
  - Supporting image (camera) sensors, live video background and textures, tracking camera motion, camera calibration, correct image synthesis
- Non-functional requirements
  - Simplicity and extensibility

#### Use cases

- Augmented Reality applications,
  - where live video stream is shown on the background and the 3D scene is shown as registered in the physical space of the live video stream.
- Augmented Virtuality (or virtual studio) applications,
  - where live video stream of physical objects can be placed within the 3D scene.

# Web3D AR WG – Compare & merge proposals

http://www.web3d.org/x3d/wiki/index.php/Comparison of X3D AR Proposals

- Comparison of X3D AR proposals from Korea Chapter and Fraunhofer IGD
  - Trade off between simplicity and flexibility/extensibility
    - KC proposes on higher level AR/MR focused features, while Instant Reality has lower-level multi-purpose nodes
- Based on this comparison, currently, AR WG is discussing how to merge the X3D AR proposals.

# Comparison Table (1/2)

| Table 1. Comparison of X3D AR proposals (Bold: newly proposed nodes, Italic: modification to standard |  |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| nodes)  |  |   |  |  |
|   | Proposal KC1   | Proposal KC2  | Proposal IR  |  |
| Using Live Video stream as a texture  | <i>MovieTexture</i> node ( or optionally with routing from <b>CameraSensor</b> node) | LiveCamera node,<br>routing to a PixelTexture<br>node   | <b>IOSensor</b> node, routing to a PixelTexture node                                 |  |
| Using Live Video stream as a background   | MovieBackground<br>node ( or optionally with<br>routing from<br>CameraSensor node)   | LiveCamera node +<br><i>TextureBackground</i><br>node   | IOSensor node +<br>PolygonBackground<br>node (or optionally<br>ImageBackground node) |  |
| Supporting color keying in texture  | <i>MovieTexture</i> node   | N/A   | N/A (use general shader<br>support)  |  |
| Retrieving tracking information   | CameraSensor node  | ImagePatch and<br>GPSSensor node  | IOSensor node  |  |
| Using tracking information to change 3D scene   | routing tracking data<br>from <b>CameraSensor</b><br>node                            | routing tracking data<br>from ImagePatch and<br>GPSSensor nodes +<br>events generated by<br>VisibilitySensor and<br>RangeSensor nodes | routing tracking data from<br><b>IOSensor</b> node                                   |  |

# Comparison Table (2/2)

| Table 1. Comparison of X3D AR proposals (Bold: newly proposed nodes, Italic: modification to standard |                      |                       |   |  |  |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| nodes)  |                      |                       |   |  |  |
|   | Proposal KC1         | Proposal KC2          | Proposal IR   |  |  |
| Retrieving camera calibration<br>(internal parameters)<br>information                                 | CameraSensor node    | LiveCamera node       | IOSensor node   |  |  |
| Using calibration information to set properties of (virtual) camera                                   | MatrixViewpoint node | <i>Viewpoint</i> node | Viewfrustum and<br>Viewpoint nodes<br>(alternatively<br>MatrixTransform node)           |  |  |
| Specifying nodes as physical object representatives   | GhostGroup node      | N/A                   | <b>ColorMaskMode</b> and<br><i>Appearance</i> nodes<br>(together with sortKey<br>field) |  |  |

Not only overlapping, but also complementing

### **Common fundamental features**

#### ImageSensor

- HTML5 navigator.getUserMedia()
- X3DOM
- ImageBackground
  - Or video background
- Viewfrustum
  - Detailed control of projection parameters
- Tracking Sensors
  - PositionSensor, OrientationSensor
- High-Level Interaction
  - Reuse environmental Sensors
    - VisibilitySensor, ProximitySensor, etc.

# Plans for Merging Proposals

- http://web3d.org/x3d/wiki/index.php/Plans\_for\_Merging\_X3D\_AR\_Proposals
- 1. Discuss general strategy/policy/guidelines
  - Revise non-functional requirement
- 2. Produce a merged proposal for each functional components
  - Investigate each functional features stepwise:
    - Camera video stream image into the scene (texture and background)
    - Tracking (including support for general tracking devices)
    - Camera calibration (viewpoints)
    - Others (color-keying, depth occlusion)
- 3. Check Integrity of the merged proposal
  - Check and resolve conflicts between individual functional components
  - Merge overlapping features between individual functional components
- 4. Specification writing
- 5. Review

## Strategy/Guidelines for Merging

- Try to reuse/extend existing nodes as much as possible
  - Specify a default value/behavior for new field/feature backward compatibility.
  - For consistency, mixing multiple functions into a single node should be avoided.
- Device independence must be kept
  - The scene description should be independent from the hardware/software environment (type of tracker, camera device, browser, etc.)
  - Detail hardware configuration should be adopted to or reconfigured by the users' hardware/software environment
  - The scene description should only specify generic type/role of interface (e.g. position tracker, orientation tracker, video source)
  - Identifying devices by high level feature (usage or generic setup, e.g. main camera, front facing camera, back facing camera), not by low level features (e.g. UUID, device number, port)
- Balance between simplicity and detail control
  - Specify default values/behaviors to provide simplicity with detailed control.
- Follow the naming convention in current specification
- New features must include examples/use cases that show the validity of its compatibility with other features of X3D.

#### => Fed into Non-functional Requirements

### Web3D AR WG – Summary and Next Steps

- Use cases and requirement specification  $\checkmark$
- Comparison between proposals
- Merge multiple proposals into one
  - Discuss general strategy/policy/guidelines => NFR
  - Produce a merged proposal for each functional components
  - Check Integrity of the merged proposal
  - Specification writing and review
- Trying to be included in X3D v3.4

# Thank you!